arXiv:1301.4528v1 [math.PR] 19 Jan 2013

GRADIENT ESTIMATES FOR SDES DRIVEN BY MULTIPLICATIVE LÉVY NOISE

FENG-YU WANG, LIHU XU, XICHENG ZHANG

Abstract. Gradient estimates are derived for the semigroup associated to a class of stochastic differential equations driven by multiplicative Lévy noise, where the noise is realized as a subordination of the Brownian motion. In particular, the estimates are sharp for α-stable type noises. To derive these estimates, a new derivative formula is established for the semigroup by using the Malliavin calculus and a finite-jump approximation argument.

1. Introduction

Consider the following stochastic differential equation (abbreviated as SDE):

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}L_t, \tag{1.1}$$

where L_t is a Lévy process, and

$$b : [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d, \ \ \sigma : [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$$

are continuous such that

$$\max\{\langle \nabla_{\mathrm{v}} b_s, \mathrm{v}\rangle, \|\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}\sigma_s\|^2_{\mathrm{H.S.}}\} \leqslant K_s|\mathrm{v}|^2, \ \ s \geqslant 0, \ \mathrm{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d \tag{1.2}$$

holds for some positive function $K \in C([0,\infty))$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, (1.1) has a unique solution $\{X_t(x)\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ starting from x. We aim to investigate the gradient estimate of P_t :

$$P_t f(x) := \mathbb{E} f(X_t(x)), \ \ t \geqslant 0, f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $\mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the space of all bounded Borel measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

When $b_t(x) = Ax$ for a matrix A and $\sigma_t(x) = \mathrm{Id}$, the gradient estimate of type

$$|\nabla P_t f| \leqslant \|f\|_\infty \varphi(t), \ \ t > 0, \ \ f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

has been derived in [7] using lower bound condition of the Lévy measure, and in [6] for $A = 0$ using asymptotic behaviours of the symbol of L_t; see also [8] for a derivative formula by using coupling through the Mecke formula.

Recently, a time-change argument was introduced in [10] to establish the Bismut derivative formula of P_t for the case that L_t is the α-stable process, σ_t is invertible and independent of the space variable (i.e. the noise is additive), and ∇b_t is uniformly bounded. In particular, this derivative formula implies that for any $p > 1$ there exists a constant $C(p) > 0$ such that (see [10, Theorem 1.1])

$$|\nabla P_t f| \leqslant \frac{C(p)}{1 \wedge t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}(P_t|f|^p)^{1/p}, \ \ f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d), t > 0. \tag{1.3}$$

Using this time-change argument and the coupling method, Harnack inequalities are then established in [9].

Key words and phrases. Gradient estimate, derivative formula, Lévy process, SDEs, time-change.

In this paper, we intend to extend the gradient estimate (1.3) for more general symmetric Lévy process L_t and space-dependent σ_t (i.e. the noise is multiplicative). Notice that if b and σ are independent of t, then the generator of P_t is given by

$$\mathscr{L}f(x) = b(x)\cdot\nabla f(x) + \text{P.V.}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}[f(x+\sigma(x)y) - f(x)]\nu(\mathrm{d}y),$$

where $\nu(\mathrm{d}y)$ is the Lévy measure of L_t, and P.V. stands for the Cauchy principal value.

From now on, we let L_t be a symmetric and rotationally invariant Lévy process, which can be formulated as subordination of the Brownian motion. More precisely, let $\{W_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ be a d-dimensional Brownian motion and $\{S_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ an independent subordinator process associated with a Bernstein function B with $B(0) = 0$; i.e. $\{S_t\}_{t\geqslant 0}$ is an increasing process with stationary independent increments such that

$$\mathbb{E}\mathrm{e}^{-uS_t} = \mathrm{e}^{-tB(u)},\ \ u\geqslant 0, t\geqslant 0.$$

Then $L_t := W_{S_t}$ is a Lévy process with symbol $\Psi(\xi) := B(|\xi|^2)$ (see e.g. [1]). In particular, if $B(u) = u^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ for some constant $\alpha\in(0,2)$, then L_t is called the α-stable process. So, the equation (1.1) is now reduced to

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = b_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t(X_t)\mathrm{d}W_{S_t}. \tag{1.4}$$

We assume

(A) $\nabla b_t(x), \nabla\sigma_t(x)$ are locally (uniformly in t) Lipschitz continuous in x, such that

$$\|\nabla b\|_t := \sup_{s\in[0,t],x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\|\nabla b_s(x)\| < +\infty,\ \ \|\nabla\sigma\|_t := \sup_{s\in[0,t],x\in\mathbb{R}^d}\|\nabla\sigma_s(x)\| < +\infty,\ \ t>0, \tag{1.5}$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the operator norm, and for some positive increasing function $c_\cdot$ and some constant $m\geqslant 0$

$$\sup_{s\in[0,t]}|\sigma_s^{-1}(x)| \leqslant c_t(1+|x|^m),\ \ t\geqslant 0, x\in\mathbb{R}^d. \tag{1.6}$$

Obviously, (1.5) implies (1.2) and hence, the existence and uniqueness of the solution. Before stating our main result, let us briefly recall the main argument introduced in [10] for the study of the additive noise case, and explain why this argument is no-longer valid in the multiplicative case.

In the additive noise case where $\sigma_t(x) = \sigma_t$ is independent of x, for a fixed path $\ell_\cdot$ of $S_\cdot$, we may reformulate the equation (1.4) as

$$\mathrm{d}X_t^\ell = b_t(X_t^\ell)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_t}.$$

To establish a derivative formula for P_t^ℓ with $P_t^\ell f := \mathbb{E}f(X_t^\ell)$, consider the following regularization of $\ell_\cdot$ for $\varepsilon>0$:

$$\ell_t^\varepsilon := \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_t^{t+\varepsilon}\ell_s\mathrm{d}s + \varepsilon t,\ \ t\geqslant 0,$$

and the associated stochastic differential equation

$$\mathrm{d}X_t^\varepsilon = b_t(X_t^\varepsilon)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_t^\varepsilon},\ \ X_0^\varepsilon = X_0^\ell.$$

Then for any $t>0$, as $\varepsilon\downarrow 0$ we have $\ell_t^\varepsilon\downarrow\ell_t$ and $X_t^\varepsilon\to X_t^\ell, \nabla X_t^\varepsilon\to\nabla X_t^\ell$ in $L^p(\mathbb{P})$ for any $p>1$. Since ℓ_t^ε is absolutely continuous, X_t^ε is indeed a diffusion process, so that an existing Bismut type derivative formula applies. Therefore, letting $\varepsilon\downarrow 0$ we derive a derivative formula for P_t^ℓ.

Now, coming back to the multiplicative noise case, we consider

$$\mathrm{d}X_t^\ell = b_t(X_t^\ell)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t(X_{t-}^\ell)\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_t},$$

and the corresponding approximation equation

$$\mathrm{d}X_t^\varepsilon = b_t(X_t^\varepsilon)\mathrm{d}t + \sigma_t(X_t^\varepsilon)\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_t^\varepsilon}, \quad X_0^\varepsilon = X_0^\ell.$$

Since we only have weak convergence $\mathrm{d}\ell_t^\varepsilon \to \mathrm{d}\ell_t$ as Lebesgue-Stieltjes measures, but the function $s \mapsto \sigma_s(X_{s-}^\ell)$ is however discontinuous, the assertion that $X_t^\varepsilon \to X_t^\ell$ is no-longer true! A simple counter-example is that $b_t(x) = 0, \sigma_t(x) = \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$ and $\ell = 1_{[1,\infty)}$, since in this case for $X_0^\ell = 0$ we have $X_1^\ell = W_1$ such that $\mathbb{E}|X_1^\ell|^2 = d$, but

$$\mathrm{d}|X_t^\varepsilon|^2 = d(1 + |X_t^\varepsilon|^2)\mathrm{d}\ell_t^\varepsilon + 2\langle X_t^\varepsilon, \sqrt{1 + |X_t^\varepsilon|^2}\,\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_t^\varepsilon}\rangle,$$

such that

$$\mathbb{E}|X_1^\varepsilon|^2 = \mathrm{e}^{d\ell_1^\varepsilon} \int_0^1 d\mathrm{e}^{-d\ell_t^\varepsilon}\mathrm{d}\ell_t^\varepsilon = \mathrm{e}^{d\ell_1^\varepsilon} - 1 \geqslant \mathrm{e}^d - 1 > d.$$

Therefore, in this case we have to introduce different arguments.

Fortunately, by using a finite-jump approximation (i.e. approximating ℓ by those of finite many jumps in finite intervals) and the Malliavin calculus, we are able to establish a nice derivative formula for P_t^ℓ (see Theorem 3.2 below), which in turn to imply the following main result of the paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume* **(A)** *and let P_t be the semigroup associate to equation* (1.4).

(i) For fixed $R > 0$, let $\tau := \inf\{t : S_t \geqslant R\}$. If $\mathbb{E}S_{t\wedge\tau}^{-1/2} < \infty$, then for any $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mathrm{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} P_t f = \mathbb{E}\bigg[f(X_t)\frac{1}{S_{t\wedge\tau}}\bigg(\int_0^{t\wedge\tau} \langle \sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-})\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}, \mathrm{d}W_{S_s}\rangle + \int_0^{t\wedge\tau} \mathrm{Tr}(\sigma_s^{-1}\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{s-}}\sigma_s)(X_{s-})\mathrm{d}S_s \\ + \int_0^{t\wedge\tau}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-})\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{s-}}\sigma_s(X_{s-})y, y\rangle N(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}y) \bigg)\bigg], \tag{1.7}$$

where N is the random measure associated to W_{S_t}, i.e.,

$$N(t, \Gamma) := \sum_{s\in[0,t]} 1_\Gamma(\Delta W_{S_s}), \quad \Gamma \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^d). \tag{1.8}$$

(ii) For any $p > 1$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\nabla P_t f(x)| \leqslant C(1 + |x|^m)(P_t|f|^p)^{1/p}(x)\left(\mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{1}{S_{t\wedge 1}^{p/(2(p-1))} \wedge 1}\right\}\right)^{(p-1)/p} \tag{1.9}$$

holds for all $t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Consequently, if $B(u) \geqslant cu^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ holds for $u \geqslant u_0$, where $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and $c, u_0 > 0$ are constants, then for any $p > 1$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$|\nabla P_t f(x)| \leqslant \frac{C(1 + |x|^m)}{(t \wedge 1)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}(P_t|f|^p)^{1/p}(x). \tag{1.10}$$

We remark that according to known derivative estimates of the α-stable process, the gradient estimate (1.10) is sharp in short-time for S_t being the α-stable subordinator (i.e. $B(u) = u^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$). Moreover, (1.10) recovers (1.3) in the additive noise case by taking $m = 0$.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly recall the integration by parts formula in the Malliavin calculus and present some lemmas on finite-jump approximations. These are then used in Section 3 to establish a derivative formula for P_t^ℓ. Finally, in Section 4 we present explicit gradient estimates of P_t^ℓ and a complete proof of the above main result.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $(\mathbb{W}, \mathbb{H}, \mu^{\mathbb{W}})$ be the classical Wiener space, i.e., $\mathbb{W}$ is the space of all continuous functions $\omega : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\omega_0 = 0$, $\mathbb{H}$ is the Cameron-Martin space consisting of all absolutely continuous functions $h \in \mathbb{W}$ with

$$\|h\|_{\mathbb{H}}^2 := \int_0^\infty |\dot{h}_s|^2 \mathrm{d}s < +\infty,$$

and $\mu^{\mathbb{W}}$ is the Wiener measure so that the coordinate process

$$W_t(\omega) := \omega_t$$

is a d-dimensional standard Brownian motion.

Below we recall some basic notions about the Malliavin calculus (cf. [3, 4]). Let $\mathbb{U}$ be a real separable Hilbert space. Let $\mathscr{C}(\mathbb{U})$ be the class of all $\mathbb{U}$-valued smooth cylindrical functionals on Ω with the form:

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(W(h_1), \cdots, W(h_n))u_i,$$

where $n \geqslant 1$, $f_i \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $u_i \in \mathbb{U}$, $h_1, \cdots, h_n \in \mathbb{H}$ and

$$W(h) = \int_0^\infty \dot{h}_s \mathrm{d}W_s.$$

The Malliavin derivative of F is defined by

$$DF := \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n (\partial_j f_i)(W(h_1), \cdots, W(h_n))u_i \otimes h_j \in \mathbb{U} \otimes \mathbb{H}.$$

It is well known that the operator $(D, \mathscr{C}(\mathbb{U}))$ is closable from $L^2(\mathbb{W}; \mathbb{U})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{W}; \mathbb{U} \otimes \mathbb{H})$ (cf. [4, p.26, Proposition 1.2.1]). The closure is denoted by $(D, \mathscr{D}(D))$. The dual operator δ of D (also called divergence operator) is defined by

$$\mathbb{E}\langle DF, U\rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \mathbb{E}(F\delta(U)), \quad F \in \mathscr{D}(D), \quad U \in \mathscr{D}(\delta).$$

Notice that the following divergence formula holds: for any $F \in \mathscr{D}(D)$ and $U \in \mathscr{D}(\delta)$,

$$\delta(FU) = F\delta U + \langle DF, U\rangle_{\mathbb{H}}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $\mathscr{F}_t^{\mathbb{W}} := \sigma\{W_s : s \leqslant t\}$ be the natural filtration associated to W_t. If $U_t(\omega) = \int_0^t u_s(\omega)\mathrm{d}s$, where u_s is an $\mathscr{F}_t^{\mathbb{W}}$-adapted process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\mathbb{E}\int_0^\infty |u_s|^2 \mathrm{d}s < \infty$, then $u \in \mathscr{D}(\delta)$ and

$$\delta(U) = \int_0^\infty \langle u_s, \mathrm{d}W_s\rangle.$$

Next, for $\beta : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ being an absolutely continuous increasing function with $\beta_0 = 0$ and locally bounded derivative $\dot{\beta}_t$, set

$$W_t^\beta := \int_0^t \dot{\beta}_s \mathrm{d}W_s, \quad t \geqslant 0. \tag{2.2}$$

It is easy to see that $t \mapsto W_t^\beta$ is a process with independent increments and

$$\lambda_t^\beta := \mathbb{E}|W_t^\beta|^2 = \int_0^t |\dot{\beta}_s|^2 \mathrm{d}s. \tag{2.3}$$

Let $\mathbb{S}$ be the space of all purely jump càdlàg and increasing functions, i.e. the path space of $(S_t)_{t\geqslant 0}$. For any $\ell \in \mathbb{S}$ and $s > 0$, we shall denote

$$\Delta\ell_s := \ell_s - \ell_{s-}.$$

We recall the following Burkholder's inequality (cf. [10, Theorem 2.3]).

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that ξ_t is an $\mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{W}}_{\ell_t}$-adapted càdlàg $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued process and satisfies that for some $p > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T |\xi_{s-}|^2 \mathrm{d}\lambda^\beta_{\ell_s}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} < +\infty, \quad \forall T \geqslant 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Then there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ such that for all $T \geqslant 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\int_0^t \xi_{s-}\mathrm{d}W^\beta_{\ell_s}\right|^p\right) \leqslant C_p\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^T |\xi_{s-}|^2\mathrm{d}\lambda^\beta_{\ell_s}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}. \tag{2.5}$$

Finally, for fixed $\ell \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, define

$$\ell^\varepsilon_t := \sum_{s\leqslant t}\Delta\ell_s 1_{\{\Delta\ell_s\geqslant\varepsilon\}}, \quad t \geqslant 0. \tag{2.6}$$

We present below a key lemma about the approximation ℓ^ε_t for later use.

Lemma 2.2. *Let ξ^ε_t be an $\mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{W}}_{\ell^\varepsilon_t}$-adapted càdlàg $\mathbb{R}^d$-valued process with*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\sup_{\varepsilon\in(0,1)}\mathbb{E}|\xi^\varepsilon_t|^2 < +\infty, \quad T > 0.$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\mathbb{E}\left|\int_0^t \xi^\varepsilon_{s-}\mathrm{d}W^\beta_{\ell^\varepsilon_s} - \int_0^t \xi^\varepsilon_{s-}\mathrm{d}W^\beta_{\ell_s}\right|^2 = 0,$$

where W^β_t is defined by (2.2).

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $T = 1$. For $t \in [0, 1]$, set

$$t_n := [nt]/n, \quad t^+_n := ([nt] + 1)/n.$$

Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Since $\mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{W}}_{\ell^\varepsilon_t} \subset \mathscr{F}^{\mathbb{W}}_{\ell_t}$, by the left-continuity of $s \mapsto \xi^\varepsilon_{s-}$ and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left|\int_0^t \xi^\varepsilon_{s-}\mathrm{d}W^\beta_{\ell^\varepsilon_s} - \int_0^t \xi^\varepsilon_{s-}\mathrm{d}W^\beta_{\ell_s}\right|^2 &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left|\int_0^t \xi^\varepsilon_{s_n-}\mathrm{d}W^\beta_{\ell^\varepsilon_s} - \int_0^t \xi^\varepsilon_{s_n-}\mathrm{d}W^\beta_{\ell_s}\right|^2 \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{[nt]}\xi^\varepsilon_{k/n}\left(W^\beta_{\ell^\varepsilon_{(k+1)/n}} - W^\beta_{\ell^\varepsilon_{k/n}} - W^\beta_{\ell_{(k+1)/n}} + W^\beta_{\ell_{k/n}}\right)\right|^2 \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{[nt]}\mathbb{E}|\xi^\varepsilon_{k/n}|^2\mathbb{E}\left(W^\beta_{\ell^\varepsilon_{(k+1)/n}} - W^\beta_{\ell^\varepsilon_{k/n}} - W^\beta_{\ell_{(k+1)/n}} + W^\beta_{\ell_{k/n}}\right)^2 \\ &\leqslant C\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\mathbb{E}\left(W^\beta_{\ell^\varepsilon_{(k+1)/n}} - W^\beta_{\ell^\varepsilon_{k/n}} - W^\beta_{\ell_{(k+1)/n}} + W^\beta_{\ell_{k/n}}\right)^2,\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where we have used the independence of $\xi^\varepsilon_{k/n}$ and $W^\beta_{\ell^\varepsilon_{(k+1)/n}} - W^\beta_{\ell^\varepsilon_{k/n}} - W^\beta_{\ell_{(k+1)/n}} + W^\beta_{\ell_{k/n}}$.

Notice that if $\ell_{k/n} \geqslant \ell^{\varepsilon}_{(k+1)/n}$, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left(W^{\beta}_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{(k+1)/n}} - W^{\beta}_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{k/n}} - W^{\beta}_{\ell_{(k+1)/n}} + W^{\beta}_{\ell_{k/n}}\right)^2 = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{k/n}}^{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{(k+1)/n}} \dot{\beta}_s \mathrm{d}W_s - \int_{\ell_{k/n}}^{\ell_{(k+1)/n}} \dot{\beta}_s \mathrm{d}W_s\right)^2$$

$$= \int_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{k/n}}^{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{(k+1)/n}} |\dot{\beta}_s|^2 \mathrm{d}s + \int_{\ell_{k/n}}^{\ell_{(k+1)/n}} |\dot{\beta}_s|^2 \mathrm{d}s = \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{(k+1)/n}} - \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{k/n}} + \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell_{(k+1)/n}} - \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell_{k/n}},$$

and if $\ell_{k/n} < \ell^{\varepsilon}_{(k+1)/n}$, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left(W^{\beta}_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{(k+1)/n}} - W^{\beta}_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{k/n}} - W^{\beta}_{\ell_{(k+1)/n}} + W^{\beta}_{\ell_{k/n}}\right)^2 = \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{k/n}}^{\ell_{k/n}} \dot{\beta}_s \mathrm{d}W_s - \int_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{(k+1)/n}}^{\ell_{(k+1)/n}} \dot{\beta}_s \mathrm{d}W_s\right)^2$$

$$= \int_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{k/n}}^{\ell_{k/n}} |\dot{\beta}_s|^2 \mathrm{d}s + \int_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{(k+1)/n}}^{\ell_{(k+1)/n}} |\dot{\beta}_s|^2 \mathrm{d}s = \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell_{k/n}} - \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{k/n}} + \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell_{(k+1)/n}} - \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{(k+1)/n}}.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left(W^{\beta}_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{(k+1)/n}} - W^{\beta}_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{k/n}} - W^{\beta}_{\ell_{(k+1)/n}} + W^{\beta}_{\ell_{k/n}}\right)^2 = I_1(n,\varepsilon) + I_2(n,\varepsilon), \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$I_1(n,\varepsilon) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(\lambda^{\beta}_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{(k+1)/n}} - \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{k/n}} + \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell_{(k+1)/n}} - \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell_{k/n}}\right) 1_{\ell_{k/n} \geqslant \ell^{\varepsilon}_{(k+1)/n}}$$

$$= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\lambda^{\beta}_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{s_n^+}} - \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{s_n}}}{\ell_{s_n^+} - \ell_{s_n}} + \frac{\lambda^{\beta}_{\ell_{s_n^+}} - \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell_{s_n}}}{\ell_{s_n^+} - \ell_{s_n}}\right) 1_{\ell_{s_n} \geqslant \ell^{\varepsilon}_{s_n^+}} \mathrm{d}\ell_s,$$

$$I_2(n,\varepsilon) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(\lambda^{\beta}_{\ell_{k/n}} - \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{k/n}} + \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell_{(k+1)/n}} - \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{(k+1)/n}}\right) 1_{\ell_{k/n} < \ell^{\varepsilon}_{(k+1)/n}}$$

$$= \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\lambda^{\beta}_{\ell_{s_n}} - \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{s_n}}}{\ell_{s_n^+} - \ell_{s_n}} + \frac{\lambda^{\beta}_{\ell_{s_n^+}} - \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{s_n^+}}}{\ell_{s_n^+} - \ell_{s_n}}\right) 1_{\ell_{s_n} < \ell^{\varepsilon}_{s_n^+}} \mathrm{d}\ell_s.$$

Noticing that as $n \to \infty$,

$$\ell_{s_n} \uparrow \ell_{s-}, \ \ \ell_{s_n^+} \downarrow \ell_s, \ \ \ell^{\varepsilon}_{s_n} \uparrow \ell^{\varepsilon}_{s-}, \ \ \ell^{\varepsilon}_{s_n^+} \downarrow \ell^{\varepsilon}_{s},$$

and as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$,

$$\ell^{\varepsilon}_s \uparrow \ell_s, \ \ \ell^{\varepsilon}_{s-} \uparrow \ell_{s-},$$

by the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} \overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}}\, I_1(n,\varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\lambda^{\beta}_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_s} - \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell^{\varepsilon}_{s-}}}{\ell_s - \ell_{s-}} + \frac{\lambda^{\beta}_{\ell_s} - \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell_{s-}}}{\ell_s - \ell_{s-}}\right) 1_{\ell_{s-} \geqslant \ell^{\varepsilon}_s} \mathrm{d}\ell_s$$

$$= 2\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\lambda^{\beta}_{\ell_s} - \lambda^{\beta}_{\ell_{s-}}}{\ell_s - \ell_{s-}}\right) 1_{\ell_{s-} \geqslant \ell_s} \mathrm{d}\ell_s = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} I_2(n,\varepsilon) \leqslant \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\int_0^1 \left(\frac{\lambda^\beta_{\ell_{s-}} - \lambda^\beta_{\ell^\varepsilon_{s-}}}{\ell_s - \ell_{s-}} + \frac{\lambda^\beta_{\ell_s} - \lambda^\beta_{\ell^\varepsilon_s}}{\ell_s - \ell_{s-}}\right) 1_{\ell_{s-}\leqslant \ell^\varepsilon_s}\mathrm{d}\ell_s = 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Combining (2.7)-(2.10), we obtain the desired limit. □

3. DERIVATIVE FORMULA FOR P^ℓ_t

In this section, we fix an $\ell \in \mathbb{S}$ and consider the following SDE:

$$X^\ell_t(x) = x + \int_0^t b_s(X^\ell_s(x))\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \sigma_s(X^\ell_{s-}(x))\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}$$

for b and σ satisfying **(A)**. Under (1.5), it is well-known that $\{X^\ell_t(\cdot), t \geqslant 0\}$ forms a C^1-stochastic flow (cf. [5, p.305, Theorem 39]). Let $\nabla X^\ell_t := (\partial_j (X^\ell_t)_i(x))_{ij}$ be the derivative matrix. Then

$$\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X^\ell_t := (\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}(X^\ell_t)_i)_{1\leqslant i\leqslant d} = (\nabla X^\ell_t)\mathrm{v}, \quad \mathrm{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and

$$\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X^\ell_t = \mathrm{v} + \int_0^t \nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X^\ell_s} b_s(X^\ell_s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X^\ell_{s-}}\sigma_s(X^\ell_{s-})\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}.$$

We first prepare the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $\alpha_t = \sup_{s\in[0,t]} |b_s(0)|$ *and* $\gamma_t = \sup_{s\in[0,t]} \|\sigma_s(0)\|$. *Then, for any* $p \geqslant 2$ *there exists a constant* $c(p) > 0$ *such that for all* $t \geqslant 0$ *and* $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{s\in[0,t]} |X^\ell_s(x)|^p\right) \leqslant c(p)\Big(|x| + \alpha_t t + \gamma_t\sqrt{\ell_t}\Big)^p \exp\left\{c(p)(\|\nabla b\|^p_t t^p + \|\nabla\sigma\|^p_t \ell_t^{\frac{p}{2}})\right\}, \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{s\in[0,t]} \|\nabla X^\ell_s(x)\|^p\right) \leqslant c(p)\exp\left\{c(p)(\|\nabla b\|^p_t t^p + \|\nabla\sigma\|^p_t \ell_t^{\frac{p}{2}})\right\}. \tag{3.2}$$

Moreover, for any $T > 0$ *and* $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}|X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t(x) - X^\ell_t(x)|^2 = 0, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}|\nabla X^{\ell^\varepsilon}_t(x) - \nabla X^\ell_t(x)|^2 = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. (1) We simply use X_s to denote $X^\ell_s(x)$. Since

$$|X_s|^p \leqslant 3^{p-1}|x| + 3^{p-1}\left|\int_0^t b_s(X_s)\mathrm{d}s\right|^p + 3^{p-1}\left|\int_0^t \sigma_s(X_{s-})\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}\right|^p$$

and

$$|b_s(x)| \leqslant \|\nabla b\|_s|x| + \alpha_s, \quad \|\sigma_s(x)\| \leqslant \|\nabla\sigma\|_s|x| + \gamma_s,$$

by Lemma 2.1 and Hölder's inequality, there exists a constant $c(p) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{s\in[0,t]} |X_s|^p\right) \leqslant & c(p)\Big(|x| + t\alpha_t + \gamma_t\sqrt{\ell_t}\Big)^p + c(p)\|\nabla b\|^p_t t^{p-1}\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|X_r|^p\right)\mathrm{d}s \\ & + c(p)\|\nabla\sigma\|^p_t \ell_t^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{r\in[0,s]}|X_r|^p\right)\mathrm{d}\ell_s.\end{aligned}$$

By Gronwall's lemma, this implies (3.1).

Similarly, noticing that

$$\|\nabla X_t\|^p \leqslant 3^{p-1} + 3^{p-1}\|\nabla b\|^p_t\left|\int_0^t \|\nabla X_s\|\mathrm{d}s\right|^p + 3^{p-1}\left\|\int_0^t \nabla\sigma_s(X^\ell_{s-})\nabla X^\ell_{s-}\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}\right\|^p,$$

by Lemma 2.1 again and Hölder's inequality, there exists a constant $c(p) > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{s\in[0,t]}\|\nabla X_t\|^p\right) \leqslant c(p) + c(p)\|\nabla b\|_t^p t^{p-1}\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{r\in[0,s]}\|\nabla X_r\|^p\right)\mathrm{d}s$$
$$+ c(p)\|\nabla\sigma\|_t^p \ell_t^{\frac{p}{2}-1}\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{r\in[0,s]}\|\nabla X_r\|^p\right)\mathrm{d}\ell_s,$$

which implies (3.2) by Gronwall's inequality again.

(2) Let $Y_t^\varepsilon := X_t^{\ell^\varepsilon} - X_t^\ell$. Then for all $t \leqslant T$,

$$\mathbb{E}|Y_t^\varepsilon|^2 \leqslant 3\|\nabla b\|_t^2 T\int_0^t \mathbb{E}|Y_s^\varepsilon|^2\mathrm{d}s + 3\|\nabla\sigma\|_t^2\int_0^t \mathbb{E}|Y_s^\varepsilon|^2\mathrm{d}\ell_s + 3R_t^\varepsilon,$$

where

$$R_t^\varepsilon := \mathbb{E}\left|\int_0^t \sigma_s(X_{s-}^\varepsilon)\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s^\varepsilon} - \int_0^t \sigma_s(X_{s-}^\varepsilon)\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}\right|^2.$$

By Gronwall's lemma and Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\mathbb{E}|Y_t^\varepsilon|^2 \leqslant 3\mathrm{e}^{3\|\nabla b\|_T^2 T^2 + 3\|\nabla\sigma\|_T^2\ell_T}\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\sup_{t\in[0,T]}R_t^\varepsilon = 0.$$

The proof is finished. □

We now prove the following derivative formula:

Theorem 3.2. *Assume* (1.5) *and* (1.6). *Let β be an increasing C^1-function with $\beta_0 = 0$. For any* $\mathrm{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *and $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have for all $t > 0$ with $\beta_{\ell_t} > 0$,*

$$\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} P_t^\ell f = \frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t}}\mathbb{E}\Bigg[f(X_t^\ell)\Bigg(\int_0^t \langle\sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-}^\ell)\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{s-}^\ell, \mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}^\beta\rangle + \int_0^t \mathrm{Tr}(\sigma_s^{-1}\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{s-}^\ell}\sigma_s)(X_{s-}^\ell)\mathrm{d}\beta_{\ell_s}$$
$$+ \sum_{s\in[0,t]}\langle\sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-}^\ell)\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{s-}^\ell}\sigma_s(X_{s-}^\ell)\Delta W_{\ell_s}^\beta, \Delta W_{\ell_s}\rangle\Bigg)\Bigg], \tag{3.4}$$

where W^β is defined by (2.2).

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, **(A)** and an approximation argument, we may and do assume that $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. (1) Let us first establish formula (3.4) for $\ell \in \mathbb{S}$ with *finite many jumps* on any finite time interval. For the simplicity of notations, we shall drop the superscript "ℓ" and variable "x".

Let $\mathcal{J}_t$ solve the following matrix-valued ODE

$$\mathcal{J}_t = I + \int_0^t \nabla b_s(X_s)\mathcal{J}_s\mathrm{d}s.$$

By the variation of constant formula, it is easy to see that

$$\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_t = \mathcal{J}_t\mathrm{v} + \mathcal{J}_t\int_0^t \mathcal{J}_s^{-1}\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{s-}}\sigma_s(X_{s-})\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}. \tag{3.5}$$

Let $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n < \cdots$ be the jump times of ℓ. Fix $\mathrm{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and set $h_0 = 0$. Define $h \in \mathbb{H}$ recursively as follows: if $s \in (\ell_{t_{k-1}}, \ell_{t_k}]$ for some $k \geqslant 1$, then

$$h_s := h_{\ell_{t_{k-1}}} + (\beta_s - \beta_{\ell_{t_{k-1}}})\sigma_{t_k}^{-1}(X_{t_k-})\Big(\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{t_k-} + \nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{t_k-}}\sigma_{t_k}(X_{t_k-})\Delta W_{\ell_{t_k}}\Big). \tag{3.6}$$

For this h, it is standard to prove that (cf. [4])

$$D_hX_t = \int_0^t \nabla_{D_hX_s}b_s(X_s)\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \nabla_{D_hX_{s-}}\sigma_s(X_{s-})\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s} + \int_0^t \sigma_s(X_{s-})\mathrm{d}h_{\ell_s}.$$

As above, one can write

$$D_hX_t = \mathcal{J}_t\int_0^t \mathcal{J}_s^{-1}\nabla_{D_hX_{s-}}\sigma_s(X_{s-})\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s} + \mathcal{J}_t\int_0^t \mathcal{J}_s^{-1}\sigma_s(X_{s-})\mathrm{d}h_{\ell_s}. \tag{3.7}$$

Let us now use induction method to prove

$$D_hX_t = \beta_{\ell_t}\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_t, \quad t \geqslant 0. \tag{3.8}$$

First of all, for all $t \in [0, t_1)$, by definition we have

$$D_hX_t = 0, \ \ \beta_{\ell_t} = 0,$$

and so (3.8) holds for all $t \in [0, t_1)$. Suppose that (3.8) holds for all $t \in [0, t_m)$. Then, by (3.6), (3.7) and induction hypothesis, we have for $t \in [t_m, t_{m+1})$,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_hX_t &= \mathcal{J}_t\int_0^t \mathcal{J}_s^{-1}\nabla_{D_hX_{s-}}\sigma_s(X_{s-})\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s} + \mathcal{J}_t\int_0^t \mathcal{J}_s^{-1}\sigma_s(X_{s-})\mathrm{d}h_{\ell_s}\\
&= \mathcal{J}_t\sum_{k=1}^m \mathcal{J}_{t_k}^{-1}\left(\nabla_{D_hX_{t_k-}}\sigma_{t_k}(X_{t_k-})\Delta W_{\ell_{t_k}} + \sigma_{t_k}(X_{t_k-})\Delta h_{\ell_{t_k}}\right)\\
&= \mathcal{J}_t\sum_{k=1}^m \mathcal{J}_{t_k}^{-1}\left\{\beta_{\ell_{t_k-}}\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{t_k-}}\sigma_{t_k}(X_{t_k-})\Delta W_{\ell_{t_k}} + (\beta_{\ell_{t_k}} - \beta_{\ell_{t_{k-1}}})\Big(\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{t_k-} + \nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{t_k-}}\sigma_{t_k}(X_{t_k-})\Delta W_{\ell_{t_k}}\Big)\right\}\\
&= \mathcal{J}_t\sum_{k=1}^m \Big((\beta_{\ell_{t_k}} - \beta_{\ell_{t_{k-1}}})\mathcal{J}_{t_k}^{-1}\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{t_k-} + \beta_{\ell_{t_k}}\mathcal{J}_{t_k}^{-1}\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{t_k-}}\sigma_{t_k}(X_{t_k-})\Delta W_{\ell_{t_k}}\Big)\,(\because \beta_{\ell_{t_k-}} = \beta_{\ell_{t_{k-1}}}).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{J}_{t_k}^{-1}\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{t_k-} &= \mathrm{v} + \int_{[0,t_k)} \mathcal{J}_s^{-1}\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{s-}}\sigma_s(X_{s-})\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}\\
&= \mathrm{v} + \int_{[0,t_{k-1}]} \mathcal{J}_s^{-1}\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{s-}}\sigma_s(X_{s-})\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all $t \in [t_m, t_{m+1})$, we further have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_hX_t &= \mathcal{J}_t\sum_{k=1}^m\Bigg(\beta_{\ell_{t_k}}\mathrm{v} + \beta_{\ell_{t_k}}\int_{[0,t_k]} \mathcal{J}_s^{-1}\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{s-}}\sigma_s(X_{s-})\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}\\
&\qquad - \beta_{\ell_{t_{k-1}}}\mathrm{v} - \beta_{\ell_{t_{k-1}}}\int_{[0,t_{k-1}]} \mathcal{J}_s^{-1}\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{s-}}\sigma_s(X_{s-})\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}\Bigg)\\
&= \mathcal{J}_t\beta_{\ell_{tm}}\mathrm{v} + \mathcal{J}_t\beta_{\ell_{tm}}\int_{[0,t_m]} \mathcal{J}_s^{-1}\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{s-}}\sigma_s(X_{s-})\mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s} = \beta_{\ell_t}\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (3.8) is proven.

Now, if

$$\beta_{\ell_t} > 0,$$

then by (3.8) and the integration by parts formula in the Malliavin calculus, we have

$$\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}\mathbb{E}f(X_t) = \mathbb{E}\Big(\nabla f(X_t)\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_t\Big) = \frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t}}\mathbb{E}\Big(\nabla f(X_t)D_hX_t\Big) = \frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t}}\mathbb{E}\Big(f(X_t)\delta(h)\Big), \tag{3.9}$$

provided $h \in \mathscr{D}(\delta)$. Notice that h defined in (3.6) is *non-adapted* because of the term $\Delta W_{\ell_{t_k}}$. Neverethless, $\delta(h)$ can be explicitly calculated as follows: Define

$$h_k(s) = (\beta_{(s\vee\ell_{t_{k-1}})\wedge\ell_{t_k}} - \beta_{\ell_{t_{k-1}}})\sigma_{t_k}^{-1}(X_{t_k-})\Big(\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{t_k-} + \nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{t_k-}}\sigma_{t_k}(X_{t_k-})\Delta W_{\ell_{t_k}}\Big), \ \ s \geqslant 0.$$

Noting that

$$h_k = h_k^{(0)} + \sum_{j=1}^{d} h_k^{(j)} (\Delta W_{\ell_{t_k}})_j,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_k^{(0)}(s) &:= (\beta_{(s\vee \ell_{t_{k-1}})\wedge \ell_{t_k}} - \beta_{\ell_{t_{k-1}}})\sigma_{t_k}^{-1}(X_{t_k-})\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{t_k-}, \\ h_k^{(j)}(s) &:= (\beta_{(s\vee \ell_{t_{k-1}})\wedge \ell_{t_k}} - \beta_{\ell_{t_{k-1}}})\Big(\sigma_{t_k}^{-1}(X_{t_k-})\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{t_k-}}\sigma_{t_k}(X_{t_k-})\Big)_{\cdot j} \end{aligned}$$

are adapted, we see from (1.6) and (3.1), (3.2) that $h \in \mathscr{D}(\delta)$; and by (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(h) &= \sum_{k;t_k\leqslant t} \delta(h_k) = \sum_{k:t_k\leqslant t} \Big(\delta(h_k^{(0)}) + \sum_{j=1}^{d} \{\delta(h_k^{(j)})(\Delta W_{\ell_{t_k}})_j + D_{h_k^{(j)}}(\Delta W_{t_k})_j\}\Big) \\ &= \sum_{k;t_k\leqslant t} \Big(\langle \sigma_{t_k}^{-1}(X_{t_k-})\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{t_k-}, \Delta W^{\beta}_{\ell_{t_k}}\rangle + \langle \sigma_{t_k}^{-1}(X_{t_k-})\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{t_k-}}\sigma_{t_k}(X_{t_k-})\Delta W^{\beta}_{\ell_{t_k}}, \Delta W_{\ell_{t_k}}\rangle\Big) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k;t_k\leqslant t} (\beta_{\ell_{t_k}} - \beta_{\ell_{t_{k-1}}})\mathrm{Tr}(\sigma_{t_k}^{-1}\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{t_k-}}\sigma_{t_k})(X_{t_k-}) \\ &= \int_0^t \langle \sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-})\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}, \mathrm{d}W^{\beta}_{\ell_s}\rangle + \int_0^t \mathrm{Tr}(\sigma_s^{-1}\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}}\sigma_s)(X_{s-})\mathrm{d}\beta_{\ell_s} \\ &\qquad + \sum_{s\in[0,t]} \langle \sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-})\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}}\sigma_s(X_{s-})\Delta W^{\beta}_{\ell_s}, \Delta W_{\ell_s}\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.9), this implies (3.4).

(2) For general $\ell \in \mathbb{S}$. Let ℓ^{ε} be defined by (2.6). It is well known that ℓ^{ε} has finite many jumps on any finite interval. By formula (3.4) for ℓ^{ε}, we have

$$\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} \mathbb{E} f(X_t^{\ell^{\varepsilon}}) = I_1(\varepsilon) + I_2(\varepsilon) + I_3(\varepsilon), \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(\varepsilon) &:= \frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t^{\varepsilon}}}\mathbb{E}\left[f(X_t^{\ell^{\varepsilon}})\int_0^t \sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-}^{\ell^{\varepsilon}})\left(\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}^{\ell^{\varepsilon}}\right)\mathrm{d}W^{\beta}_{\ell_s^{\varepsilon}}\right], \\ I_2(\varepsilon) &:= \frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t^{\varepsilon}}}\mathbb{E}\left[f(X_t^{\ell^{\varepsilon}})\int_0^t \mathrm{Tr}(\sigma_s^{-1}\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}^{\ell^{\varepsilon}}}\sigma_s)(X_{s-}^{\ell^{\varepsilon}})\mathrm{d}\beta_{\ell_s^{\varepsilon}}\right], \\ I_3(\varepsilon) &:= \frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t^{\varepsilon}}}\mathbb{E}\left[f(X_t^{\ell^{\varepsilon}})\sum_{s\leqslant t} \langle \sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-}^{\ell^{\varepsilon}})\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}^{\ell^{\varepsilon}}}\sigma_s(X_{s-}^{\ell^{\varepsilon}})\Delta W^{\beta}_{\ell_s^{\varepsilon}}, \Delta W_{\ell_s^{\varepsilon}}\rangle\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\xi_s^{\varepsilon} = \sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-}^{\ell^{\varepsilon}})\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}^{\ell^{\varepsilon}}, \quad \xi_s = \sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-}^{\ell})\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}^{\ell}.$$

By (1.5), (1.6) and Lemma 3.1, it is easy to see that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}|\xi_s^{\varepsilon} - \xi_s|^2 = 0.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.2 and the dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\left|\int_0^t \xi_s^{\varepsilon}\mathrm{d}W^{\beta}_{\ell_s^{\varepsilon}} - \int_0^t \xi_s\mathrm{d}W^{\beta}_{\ell_s}\right|^2 \leqslant \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}\left|\int_0^t (\xi_s^{\varepsilon} - \xi_s)\mathrm{d}W^{\beta}_{\ell_s}\right|^2 = \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}|\xi_s^{\varepsilon} - \xi_s|^2\mathrm{d}\lambda^{\beta}_{\ell_s} = 0,$$

and so,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} I_1(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t}}\mathbb{E}\left[f(X_t^{\ell})\int_0^t \sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-}^{\ell})\left(\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}^{\ell}\right)\mathrm{d}W^{\beta}_{\ell_s}\right]. \tag{3.11}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} I_2(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t}}\mathbb{E}\left[f(X_t^\ell)\int_0^t \mathrm{Tr}(\sigma_s^{-1}\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}^\ell}\sigma_s)(X_{s-}^\ell)\mathrm{d}\beta_{\ell_s}\right]. \tag{3.12}$$

To treat $I_3(\varepsilon)$, we set

$$A_s^\varepsilon := (\sigma_s^{-1}\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}^{\ell^\varepsilon}}\sigma_s)(X_{s-}^{\ell^\varepsilon}),\ \ A_s := (\sigma_s^{-1}\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}^{\ell}}\sigma_s)(X_{s-}^{\ell}). \tag{3.13}$$

Then

$$\eta_t^\varepsilon := \sum_{s\in[0,t]} \langle \sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-}^{\ell^\varepsilon})\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}^{\ell^\varepsilon}}\sigma_s(X_{s-}^{\ell^\varepsilon})\Delta W^\beta_{\ell_s^\varepsilon}, \Delta W_{\ell_s^\varepsilon}\rangle = \sum_{s\in[0,t]}\langle A_s^\varepsilon \Delta W^\beta_{\ell_s^\varepsilon}, \Delta W_{\ell_s^\varepsilon}\rangle$$

and

$$\eta_t := \sum_{s\in[0,t]} \langle \sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-}^{\ell})\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}^{\ell}}\sigma_s(X_{s-}^{\ell})\Delta W^\beta_{\ell_s}, \Delta W_{\ell_s}\rangle = \sum_{s\in[0,t]}\langle A_s \Delta W^\beta_{\ell_s}, \Delta W_{\ell_s}\rangle.$$

Letting $\|\cdot\|_p$ denote the L^p-norm with respsect to $\mathbb{P}$, we obtain for $p\in(1,2)$ that

$$\|\eta_t^\varepsilon - \eta_t\|_p \leqslant J_1(\varepsilon) + J_2(\varepsilon),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(\varepsilon) &:= \sum_{s\in[0,t]} \|\langle A_s\Delta W^\beta_{\ell_s^\varepsilon}, \Delta W_{\ell_s^\varepsilon}\rangle - \langle A_s \Delta W^\beta_{\ell_s}, \Delta W_{\ell_s}\rangle\|_p, \\ J_2(\varepsilon) &:= \sum_{s\in[0,t]} \|\langle (A_s^\varepsilon - A_s)\Delta W^\beta_{\ell_s^\varepsilon}, \Delta W_{\ell_s^\varepsilon}\rangle\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

By Hölder's inequality, (1.6) and Lemma 3.1, for $q=\frac{2p}{2-p}$ and some constants C_1, C_2 depending on p and t, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(\varepsilon) &\leqslant C_1 \sum_{s\in[0,t]} \|A_s\|_{2q}\|\Delta W_{\ell_s^\varepsilon}\|_{2q}\|\Delta W^\beta_{\ell_s^\varepsilon} - \Delta W^\beta_{\ell_s}\|_2 \\ &\quad + C_1\sum_{s\in[0,t]} \|A_s\|_{2q}\|\Delta W^\beta_{\ell_s}\|_{2q}\|\Delta W_{\ell_s^\varepsilon} - \Delta W_{\ell_s}\|_2 \\ &\leqslant C_2(1+|x|^m)\left(\sum_{s\in[0,t]}\|\Delta W_{\ell_s^\varepsilon}\|_{2q}^2\right)^{1/2}\left(\sum_{s\in[0,t]}\|\Delta W^\beta_{\ell_s^\varepsilon} - \Delta W^\beta_{\ell_s}\|_2^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &\quad + C_2(1+|x|^m)\left(\sum_{s\in[0,t]}\|\Delta W^\beta_{\ell_s}\|_{2q}^2\right)^{1/2}\left(\sum_{s\in[0,t]}\|\Delta W_{\ell_s^\varepsilon} - \Delta W_{\ell_s}\|_2^2\right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

which converges to zero as $\varepsilon\downarrow 0$ due to the argument in the proof of Lemma 2.2, where x is the initial point of X_s^ℓ.

Similarly, we have

$$J_2(\varepsilon) \leqslant C\sum_{s\in[0,t]}\|A_s^\varepsilon - A_s\|_2(\Delta\ell_s^\varepsilon)^{1/2}(\Delta\lambda^\beta_{\ell_s^\varepsilon})^{1/2} \leqslant C\sup_{s\in[0,t]}\|A_s^\varepsilon - A_s\|_2 \tag{3.15}$$

which goes to zero as $\varepsilon\downarrow 0$ by Lemma 3.1. By the dominated convergence theorem, and combining this with (3.14) and (3.15), we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0} I_3(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t}}\mathbb{E}\left[f(X_t^\ell)\eta_t\right].$$

Therefore, the proof is finished by taking $\varepsilon\downarrow 0$ in (3.10) and noting that due to (3.3),

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}\mathbb{E}f(X_t^{\ell_\varepsilon}) = \nabla_{\mathrm{v}}\mathbb{E}f(X_t^\ell).$$

□

4. Derivative formula and gradient estimate for P_t: Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $\mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the set of all bounded measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Consider

$$P_t^\ell f(x) := \mathbb{E} f(X_t^\ell(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0, f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

We first prove the following gradient estimate for P_t^ℓ.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume* (1.5) *and* (1.6). *For any $p > 1$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $f \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \in (0, 1]$ with $\ell_t > 0$,*

$$|\nabla P_t^\ell f(x)| \leqslant \frac{C(1 + |x|^m)}{\sqrt{\ell_t \wedge 1}} (P_t^\ell |f|^p)^{1/p}(x). \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. By an approximation argument and the Jensen inequality, we only need to prove (4.1) for $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $p \in (1, 2)$. In this case, $q := \frac{p}{p-1} > 2$.

Simply denote $X_\cdot^\ell = X_\cdot^\ell(x)$. By (1.6), (3.1) and (3.2), there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all $t \in (0, 1]$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} |\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_s^\ell|^{2q}\right) \leqslant c \mathrm{e}^{c \ell_t^q}, \quad \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} |\sigma_s^{-1}(X_s^\ell)|^{2q}\right) \leqslant c(1 + |x|^m)^{2q} \mathrm{e}^{c \ell_t^q}. \tag{4.2}$$

Define

$$\tau := \inf\{t : \ell_t \geqslant 1\}.$$

Clearly,

$$\ell_\tau \geqslant 1, \quad \ell_{\tau-} \leqslant 1. \tag{4.3}$$

Below we take

$$\beta_t := t \wedge \ell_\tau, \quad t \geqslant 0. \tag{4.4}$$

By Theorem 3.2, for any $\mathrm{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $|\mathrm{v}| = 1$, we have

$$|\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} P_t^\ell f| \leqslant I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$I_1 := \frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t}} \mathbb{E}\left| f(X_t^\ell) \int_0^t \sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-}^\ell) \left(\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}^\ell\right) \mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}^\beta \right|,$$

$$I_2 := \frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t}} \mathbb{E}\left| f(X_t^\ell) \int_0^t \mathrm{Tr}(\sigma_s^{-1} \nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}^\ell} \sigma_s)(X_{s-}^\ell) \mathrm{d}\beta_{\ell_s} \right|,$$

$$I_3 := \frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t}} \mathbb{E}\left| f(X_t^\ell) \sum_{s \in [0,t]} \langle A_s \Delta W_{\ell_s}^\beta, \Delta W_{\ell_s} \rangle \right|,$$

where A_s is in (3.13). For I_1, by Lemma 2.1 and Hölder's inequality, and using (4.2) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leqslant \frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t}} (P_t^\ell |f|^p)^{1/p} \left(\mathbb{E}\left| \int_0^t \sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-}^\ell) \left(\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}^\ell\right) \mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}^\beta \right|^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t}} (P_t^\ell |f|^p)^{1/p} \left(\mathbb{E}\left(\int_0^t \left| \sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-}^\ell) \left(\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}^\ell\right) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}\lambda_{\ell_s}^\beta \right)^{q/2} \right)^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leqslant \frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t}}(P_t^\ell|f|^p)^{1/p}(\lambda_{\ell_t}^\beta)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_0^t (\mathbb{E}\|\sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-}^\ell)\|^{2q})^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{E}|\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{s-}^\ell|^{2q})^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{d}\lambda_{\ell_s}^\beta\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\\
&\leqslant \frac{C_1(1+|x|^m)}{\beta_{\ell_t}}(P_t^\ell|f|^p)^{1/p}\Big(\lambda_{\ell_t}^\beta\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{c\ell_{s-}^q}\mathrm{d}\lambda_{\ell_s}^\beta\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C_1 > 0$. By the choice (4.4) of β_t and the definition of $\lambda_{\ell_t}^\beta$, it is easy to see that

$$\lambda_{\ell_t}^\beta = \int_0^{\ell_t} |\dot\beta_s|^2\mathrm{d}s = \int_0^{\ell_t} 1_{s\leqslant\ell_\tau}\mathrm{d}s = \ell_t\wedge\ell_\tau = \beta_{\ell_t},$$

and by (4.3),

$$\int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{c\ell_{s-}^q}\mathrm{d}\lambda_{\ell_s}^\beta = \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{c\ell_{s-}^q}\mathrm{d}\beta_{\ell_s} = \sum_{s\in[0,t]}\mathrm{e}^{c\ell_{s-}^q}\Delta\beta_{\ell_s} \leqslant \mathrm{e}^c\beta_{\ell_t}.$$

Therefore,

$$I_1 \leqslant \frac{C_1(1+|x|^m)\mathrm{e}^{c/q}}{\sqrt{\beta_{\ell_t}}}(P_t^\ell|f|^p)^{1/p} \overset{(4.3)}{\leqslant} \frac{C_1(1+|x|^m)\mathrm{e}^{c/q}}{\sqrt{\ell_t\wedge 1}}(P_t^\ell|f|^p)^{1/p}. \tag{4.6}$$

Similarly, there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\leqslant \frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t}}(P_t^\ell|f|^p)^{1/p}\left(\mathbb{E}\left|\int_0^t \mathrm{Tr}(\sigma_s^{-1}\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{s-}^\ell}\sigma_s)(X_{s-}^\ell)\mathrm{d}\beta_{\ell_s}\right|^q\right)^{1/q}\\
&\leqslant \frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t}}(P_t^\ell|f|^p)^{1/p}(\beta_{\ell_t})^{1-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_0^t \mathbb{E}|\mathrm{Tr}(\sigma_s^{-1}\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}}X_{s-}^\ell}\sigma_s)(X_{s-}^\ell)|^q\mathrm{d}\beta_{\ell_s}\right)^{1/q}\\
&\leqslant C_2(1+|x|^m)(P_t^\ell|f|^p)^{1/p}(\beta_{\ell_t})^{-\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{c\ell_{s-}^q}\mathrm{d}\beta_{\ell_s}\right)^{1/q}\\
&\leqslant C_2(1+|x|^m)\mathrm{e}^{c/q}(P_t^\ell|f|^p)^{1/p}. \qquad\qquad (4.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, noting that $\Delta\beta_{\ell_s} = \Delta\ell_s 1_{[0,\tau]}(s)$, we have, for some constant $C_3 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 &\leqslant \frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t}}(P_t^\ell|f|^p)^{1/p}\left\|\sum_{s\in[0,t]}\langle A_s\Delta W_{\ell_s}^\beta, \Delta W_{\ell_s}\rangle\right\|_q\\
&\leqslant \frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t}}(P_t^\ell|f|^p)^{1/p}\sum_{s\in[0,t]}\|\langle A_s\Delta W_{\ell_s}^\beta, \Delta W_{\ell_s}\rangle\|_q\\
&\leqslant \frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t}}(P_t^\ell|f|^p)^{1/p}\sum_{s\in[0,t]}\|A_s\|_{2q}\|\Delta W_{\ell_s}^\beta\|_{4q}\|\Delta W_{\ell_s}\|_{4q}\\
&\leqslant \frac{C_3(1+|x|^m)}{\beta_{\ell_t}}(P_t^\ell|f|^p)^{1/p}\sum_{s\in[0,t]}|\Delta\ell_s|^{1/2}|\Delta\lambda_{\ell_s}^\beta|^{1/2}\mathrm{e}^{c\ell_{s-}^q/(2q)}\\
&\leqslant \frac{C_3(1+|x|^m)}{\beta_{\ell_t}}(P_t^\ell|f|^p)^{1/p}\sum_{s\in[0,t]}|\Delta\ell_s|^{\frac{1}{2}}|\Delta\beta_{\ell_s}|^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathrm{e}^{c/(2q)}\\
&= \frac{C_3\mathrm{e}^{c/(2q)}(1+|x|^m)}{\beta_{\ell_t}}(P_t^\ell|f|^p)^{1/p}\sum_{s\in[0,t\wedge\tau]}\Delta\ell_s\\
&= C_3(1+|x|^m)\mathrm{e}^{c/(2q)}(P_t^\ell|f|^p)^{1/p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (4.6), (4.7) and (4.5), we obtain (4.1). □

Now we are in a position to give

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By taking regular conditional probability with respect to S, we have

$$P_t f(x) := \mathbb{E} f(X_t(x)) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E} f(X_t^\ell(x))|_{\ell=S}) = \mathbb{E}(P_t^S f(x)). \tag{4.8}$$

(i) For $R > 0$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{S}$, define

$$\tau(\ell) := \inf\{t : \ell_t \geqslant R\}.$$

If we choose $\beta_t = t \wedge \tau(\ell)$ in (3.4), then by (4.8) and (3.4), we have, for any $\mathrm{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} P_t f = I_1 + I_2 + I_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[f(X_t^\ell)\frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t}}\int_0^t \langle \sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-}^\ell)\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}^\ell, \mathrm{d}W_{\ell_s}^\beta\rangle\right]_{\ell=S}\right), \\ I_2 &:= \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[f(X_t^\ell)\frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t}}\int_0^t \mathrm{Tr}(\sigma_s^{-1}\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}^\ell}\sigma_s)(X_{s-}^\ell)\mathrm{d}\beta_{\ell_s}\right]_{\ell=S}\right), \\ I_3 &:= \mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[f(X_t^\ell)\frac{1}{\beta_{\ell_t}}\sum_{s\in[0,t]} \langle \sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-}^\ell)\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}^\ell}\sigma_s(X_{s-}^\ell)\Delta W_{\ell_s}^\beta, \Delta W_{\ell_s}\rangle\right]_{\ell=S}\right). \end{aligned}$$

As shown in the proof of Theorem 4.1, it is clear that when $\mathbb{E}S_{t\wedge\tau}^{-1/2} < \infty$, I_1, I_2 and I_3 are well defined. Noticing that

$$W_{\ell_s}^\beta = W_{\ell_s \wedge \ell_{\tau(\ell)}} = W_{\ell_{s\wedge\tau(\ell)}}$$

and

$$\Delta W_{\ell_s}^\beta = \Delta W_{\ell_{s\wedge\tau(\ell)}} = W_{\ell_s} 1_{[0,\tau(\ell)]}(s),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \mathbb{E}\left[f(X_t)\frac{1}{S_{t\wedge\tau}}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau} \langle \sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-})\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}, \mathrm{d}W_{S_s}\rangle\right], \\ I_2 &= \mathbb{E}\left[f(X_t)\frac{1}{S_{t\wedge\tau}}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau} \mathrm{Tr}(\sigma_s^{-1}\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}}\sigma_s)(X_{s-})\mathrm{d}S_s\right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \mathbb{E}\left[f(X_t)\frac{1}{S_{t\wedge\tau}}\sum_{s\in[0,t\wedge\tau]} \langle \sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-})\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}}\sigma_s(X_{s-})\Delta W_{S_s}, \Delta W_{S_s}\rangle\Big)\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[f(X_t)\frac{1}{S_{t\wedge\tau}}\int_0^{t\wedge\tau}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \sigma_s^{-1}(X_{s-})\nabla_{\nabla_{\mathrm{v}} X_{s-}}\sigma_s(X_{s-})x, x\rangle N(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}x)\right], \end{aligned}$$

where the random measure N is defined by (1.8). Thus, the formula (1.7) is proven.

(ii) By the Markov property, it suffices to prove (1.9) for $t \in (0, 1]$. Then the estimate (1.9) follows from Theorem 4.1 and (4.8) by using the Hölder inequality. So, it suffices to prove (1.10) for $t \in (0, 1]$ in the case that $B(u) \geqslant cu^{\alpha/2}, u \geqslant u_0$, where $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and $u_0 \geqslant 0$. In this case, for any $\gamma > 0$ we may find a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $t > 0$ (see e.g. [2, page 298] for the formula of $\mathbb{E}S_t^{-\gamma}$),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\frac{1}{S_t^\gamma \wedge 1} &\leqslant 1 + \mathbb{E}\frac{1}{S_t^\gamma} = 1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)}\int_0^\infty u^{\gamma-1}\mathrm{e}^{-tB(u)}\mathrm{d}u \\ &\leqslant 1 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)}\Big(\int_{u_0}^\infty u^{\gamma-1}\mathrm{e}^{-ctu^{\alpha/2}}\mathrm{d}u + \int_0^{u_0} u^{\gamma-1}\mathrm{d}u\Big) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leqslant 1+\frac{u_0^{\gamma}}{\gamma\Gamma(\gamma)}+\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)}\int_0^{\infty} u^{\gamma-1}\mathrm{e}^{-ctu^{\alpha/2}}\mathrm{d}u \leqslant \frac{C}{1\wedge t^{2\gamma/\alpha}}.$$

Therefore, (1.10) follows from (1.9). □

Acknowledgements:

We would like to deeply thank Professor Zhenqing Chen for his quite useful conversations. Feng-Yu Wang is supported by NNSFC(11131003), SRFDP, the Laboratory of Mathematical and Complex Systems and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities. Xicheng Zhang is supported by NSFs of China (No. 11271294) and Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University.

References

[1] Jacob N.:Pseudo Differential Operators and Markov Processes (Volume I), Imperial College Press, London, 2001.

[2] Gordiba M., Rockner M., Wang F.-Y.: Dimension-independent Harnack inequality for subordinated semigroups, Potential Analysis 34(2011), 293–307.

[3] Malliavin P.: Stochastic analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.

[4] Nualart D.: The Malliavin calculus and related topics. Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin(2005).

[5] Protter P.E.: Stochastic integration and differential equations. Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[6] Schilling R. L., Sztonyk P. and Wang J.: Coupling property and gradient estimates of Lévy processes via the symbol. Bernoulli. 18(2012), 1128-1149.

[7] Wang F.-Y.: Gradient estimate for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck jump processes, Stoch. Proc. Appl. 121(2011), 466–478.

[8] Wang F.-Y.: Derivative formula and Harnack inequality for jump processes, arXiv:1104.5531.

[9] Wang F. Y., Wang J.: Harnack inequalities for stochastic equations driven by Lévy noise, arXiv:1212.0405

[10] Zhang X.: Derivative formula and gradient estimate for SDEs driven by α-stable processes. Stoch. Proc. Appl. Vol. 123, Issue 4, pp.1213-1228(2013).

Feng-Yu Wang
School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China; wangfy@bnu.edu.cn
Department of Mathematics, Swansea University, Singleton Park, SA2 8PP, UK; F.Y.Wang@swansea.ac.uk

Lihu Xu
Department of Mathematics, Brunel University, Uxbridge, UB8 3PH, UK; Lihu.Xu@brunel.ac.uk

Corresponding author: Xicheng Zhang
School of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China; xichengzhang@googlemail.com